Public architecture edition · November 2026

Discipleship.Earth: Designing a Governed AI Mission Infrastructure

A design case study about church-led missions, published knowledge, residency, and human review of AI-assisted work.

The problem

A church or ministry can build an audience online and still struggle to preserve what it teaches, explain who is responsible for a decision, or help a reader find a genuine human connection. The project began with a practical question: how can digital infrastructure serve relationships that are already rooted in churches and communities?

The first design decision is to give missions in person priority. Local churches and missionaries lead the work. Digital discovery, resource sharing, and follow-up extend that work when the people responsible agree to the purpose and the audience.

The architecture

Discipleship.Earth is the source and discovery layer. It organizes public articles, Bible references, teaching resources, and paths into the Residency. Signal Codex One supplies the application underneath it, including workspaces, staff-managed residency decisions, and reviewed publication.

Project notes assign HolyCross.One a ministry-interface role, ModeratorTrust.Pro a human-governance and moderator-training role, and DigitalLife.One an outreach and distribution role. Those are ecosystem roles in the project design. The Residency release implements its own public reading paths and staff workflow.

The Residency

The Residency gives a person, church, or ministry a way to establish a recognizable presence and share resources connected to their work. A staff member can gather the necessary context, review a proposed relationship, and record the decision. Public identity and publication are separate decisions with their own reviewers.

The release uses the application’s staff-managed residency tools. Public submissions, partner onboarding, and ministry referrals depend on a verified contact, consent, and operating procedures from the people responsible for each program.

Review, practice, and evidence

Permission, review, and AI assistance

Preserve a source before editing it. Record where it came from, what is known about its completeness, and which public edition it supports. A person checks quoted Scripture and reviews the intended public use of any story. Publishing the reviewed version is a distinct approval step.

AI can organize material, suggest references for verification, and prepare drafts. People remain responsible for theology, ministry decisions, and escalation. Sensitive testimony stays private until the person sharing it has agreed to the audience and a qualified reviewer has approved the use.

An example of the workflow

In an illustrative scenario, a missionary tells a sending church about a completed visit. The church asks what can be shared publicly, preserves the original update privately, and drafts a short account with an agreed prayer request. A designated human reviewer checks the details before the public edition goes live.

The church can then point people to the update and invite them to pray or participate through an existing relationship. If the missionary requests a correction, the church retains the original and issues a corrected public edition.

What the evidence supports

This edition was prepared from the project purpose, theological alignment, ecosystem map, source recovery model, and human-governance notes. The technical baseline is the Signal Codex One source imported into the Discipleship.Earth repository. The notes also record two recovered Biblical Architecture article bodies and distinct editorial drafts for future review.

The work described here is an architecture and editorial case study. Partnership results, ministry outcomes, and production activity require their own evidence. A useful next evaluation is whether a reader can find an approved resource, follow its provenance, and reach a genuine human next step.